## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/924,111	08/07/2001	Michael A. Gaul	A-7172	9737	
5642 7590 10/05/2007 SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			PENG, FRED H		
	LOAF PARKWAY VILLE, GA 30044		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	122, 011000		2623		
,			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/05/2007	EL ECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTOmail@sciatl.com

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/924,111	GAUL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Fred Peng	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status			,				
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	Ilv 2007.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	/ <del></del>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10-23,26,30-36,39,43-48 and 51</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6,10-23,26,30-36,39,43-48 and 53</u>	1 is/are rejected.					
		-					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
	ion Papers	·					
	. The specification is objected to by the Examine.	•					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - - -				
10,							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
		armier. Note the attached office	7.00.011.01.1011111.110-102.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
Attachmen							
2)  Notic 3)  Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	te				

Art Unit: 2623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 10-23, 26, 30-36, 39, 43-48 and 51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant argues on page 13 lines 1-2 of Remarks that Kamen does not disclose, teach, or suggest at least where the channel listing characteristic comprises a predetermined number of channels presented concurrently; further argues that If, for instance, a user of the system of Kamen selects 18 channels to be displayed in the "Program Listings Today" mode, all 18 channels will not be listed on the same page. Kamen fails to teach configuring how many of those 18 channels will be displayed concurrently in the IPG. Therefore, Kamen does not disclose the feature of an IPG channel listing characteristic where the channel listing characteristic comprises a predetermined number of channels presented concurrently. Thus, Kamen does not anticipate independent claim 1 as amended.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments.

Kamen does teach at least where the channel listing characteristic comprises a predetermined number of channels presented concurrently (FIG.2; FIG.2A; Col 5 lines 9-24; where under "Program Listings Today" channel listing characteristics comprises 3 channels (ABC, FOX and CBS) presented concurrently. In another/changed user selected mode, e.g., "Sports Listings", "NEWS Listings" modes, etc, similar to the mode selected in FIG. 2 (not shown), which identifies and determines a number of channels that are showing programs that fit the user selected category (Sports in FIG. 2) at a specified time period (7 pm in the case of FIG. 2)).

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., configuring how many of those 18 channels will be displayed concurrently in the IPG) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from

Art Unit: 2623

the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

On page 15 lines 2-4, applicant argues that Kamen fails to disclose modifying a predetermined number of time listings presented concurrently or a predetermined coverage of a time listing.

The examiner also respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments.

Kamen also disclose the time listing characteristic is at least of the following: number of time listing presented concurrently and coverage of a time listing and modifying a predetermined number of time listing presented concurrently (FIG.2A - FIG.2C; In which Kamen discloses determining a number of listings shown for a user selected mode/time period, e.g., seven time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2A, three time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2 & FIG.2B, four time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2C) and coverage of a time listing (In FIG. 2A and 2)).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 10-14, 17-23, 26, 30-36, 39, 43-48 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kamen et al (US 6,421,067 B1).

Regarding Claims 1, 17, 30 and 43, Kamen discloses a system and corresponding method for providing interactive media services comprising:

memory (304,302 - FIG. 6) for storing IPG (EPG) configuration data (software instructions) that is used to determine an IPG channel listing characteristic (look of the EPG/IPG information displayed) (Col 12 lines 19-25; Col 13 lines 26-27, 36-38; Col 14 lines 37-57) where the channel listing characteristic comprises a predetermined number of channels presented concurrently (identifying, determining and changing how many channels information is presented on the video screen at an instant; FIG.2 and FIG.2A; Col 5 lines 9-24. Kamen discloses a number of channels displayed on the screen depending on the user selected EPG mode. For example, in FIG.2A the EPG has identified a number, i.e., three channels (ABC, FOX and CBS) to be displayed concurrently in the "Program Listings Today" mode. In another/changed user selected mode, e.g., "Sports Listings", "NEWS Listings" modes, etc, similar to the mode selected in FIG. 2 (not shown), which identifies and determines a number of channels that are showing programs that fit the user selected category (Sports in FIG. 2) at a specified time period (7 pm in the case of FIG. 2)).

Kamen further discloses logic configured to modify the IPG configuration data in response, to a first user input requesting a change in the IPG screen characteristic (Col 14 lines 50-52; Col 5 lines 18-20; Col 7 lines 24-27; Col 7 lines 56-60; Col 13 lines 25-51).

Regarding Claim 2, Kamen further discloses the memory is non-volatile memory (Col 12 lines 19-22).

Regarding Claims 3, 19-21,32-34, 45, and 46, Kamen further discloses an IPG screen that is configured in accordance with the first user input (menu/option input; Col 13 lines 33-46) is presented to a user in response to receiving a second user input (command to display the EPG while normally watching TV, i.e., video only; Col 8 lines 26-31).

Regarding Claims 6, 26, 39 and 51, Kamen discloses a system and corresponding method for providing interactive media services comprising:

Art Unit: 2623

memory (304, 302 - FIG. 6) for storing IPG (EPG) configuration data (software instructions) that is used to determine an IPG time listing characteristic (look of the EPG/IPG information displayed) (Kamen discloses the IPG software/instructions determine/compute how and what IPG time listing information is displayed; Col8 lines 4-11, FIG. 2A, 2, & 2C; Col 12 lines 19-25; Col 13 lines 42-51) where the time listing characteristic is at least one of the following:

a predetermined number of time listings presented concurrently (FIG.2A - FIG.2C; In which Kamen discloses determining a number of listings shown for a user selected mode/time period, e.g., seven time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2A, three time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2 & FIG.2B, four time listings are presented concurrently in FIG.2C) and

a predetermined coverage of a time listing (In FIG. 2A and 2); and

logic configured to modify the IPG configuration data in response to a first user input requesting a change in the IPG screen characteristic (Kamen discloses a first user input/request to modify the EPG presentation mode to one of the modes discussed above; Col 14 lines 50-52; Col 5 lines 18-20; Col 7 lines 24-27; Col 7 lines 56-60; Col 13 lines 25-51).

Regarding Claims 10, 22, 35, and 47, Kamen further discloses an IPG screen that is configured in accordance with the first user input is presented to a user via a display device (Col 13 lines 33-37; Col 14 lines 28-33).

Regarding Claims 11,23, 36, and 48, Kamen further discloses the display device is a television (Col 15 line 23).

Regarding Claims 12, 18, 31, and 44, Kamen further discloses the first user input is provided via a remote control device (150- FIGs. 2& 6; Col 5 lines 19-21; Col 8 lines 26-31; Col 12 lines 40-42).

Regarding Claim 13, Kamen further discloses the system is a client device (client to the B-caster and Internet servers; Col 14 lines 39-42; Col 6 lines 24-30; Col 14 lines 57-64).

Regarding Claim 14, Kamen further discloses the client device is a digital home communication terminal (DHCT) (PCTV; Col 4 lines 60-63).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamen et al (US 6,421,067 B1) in view of Lemmons et al (US 6,442,775 B1).

Regarding Claims 15 and 16, Kamen discloses the EPG is a shell for access to additional applications by connecting to a server (Col 14 lines 57-64).

Kamen fails to disclose the system is a server device and located at a headend.

In an analogous art, Lemmons discloses an EPG server system located at a headend (television distribution facility 16 - FIG. 1) for generating EPG display screens (Col 5 lines 34-36 & 47-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the system of Kamen to include the system is a server device and located at a headend as taught by Lemmons for the added advantage of decreasing the processing load of the receiving device.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 7

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Fred Peng whose telephone number is (571) 270-1147. The examiner can normally be

reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

Fred Peng

Patent Examiner

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600**